

Disgruntled Lockheed Martin VP

1. [The Lockheed Martin VP Theory](#)
2. [Incriminating Evidence](#)
3. [Exculpatory Evidence](#)

The Lockheed Martin VP Theory

- Internet poster **James sons ex step mom** [asserted](#) in a post on July 13, 2006 "I believe that the only involvement the family had was with a VP at Lockheed Martin who had a grudge with John and wanted him out of the succession plan. My ex-husband, the VP of Corporate Compliance, spent the three weeks preceding the murder at the Access Graphics facility (part of the Lockheed and Martin Marietta merger)." Immediately after the JBR murder, she provided information to the authorities and the next day he was handcuffed by FBI, fired from Lockheed Martin, stripped of all security clearances and Board positions at several high-level national laboratories. She does not believe her husband actually committed the crime but claims he was the strategist behind other "hits" and was easily capable of organizing this.
- **Motivation.** Grudge against John Ramsey.
- **Means.** The poster provides several examples of instances in which "accidents" at various facilities occurred immediately after her husband had visited them. She clearly believes he had the skills and network required to execute this "hit."
- **Opportunity.** **James sons ex step mom** [asserts](#) "He was not at our home on the night of the murder (I assumed he was with his mistress)."

Incriminating Evidence

- **Strong, Swift Action by Authorities.** **James sons ex step mom** [claims](#) "I provided information to the Boulder Police Department and the next day, the FBI handcuffed my ex-husband, stripped him of all of his security clearances and he was fired from LMCO, and his position on the Board of Directors of Los Alamos National Lab, Sandia Corp, Hanford, Oak Ridge and other facilities."
- **Lied about Involvement.** **James sons ex step mom** [claims](#). "In a separate investigation, he lied about his involvement."
- **Homicide Threats.** **James sons ex step mom** [claims](#). "He threatened me that if I ever came forward and told the authorities that the same thing would happen to our children." It is not clear from this account whether this individual explicitly confessed to being involved in JBR murder or simply let his ex-wife draw her own conclusions about what "the same thing" meant.
- **Explanation for Lockheed Kidnapping "Stand-down."** This scenario is one of the few that could explain why in the face of a RN that explicitly mentioned John's business and referred to kidnapping by a foreign faction, there was not an immediate effort to alert or protect high level executives at Lockheed Martin. This would have been the standard operating procedure unless "someone" in the requisite position of authority knew the kidnapping was not genuine and hence did not invoke SOP in this case.
- **Tie to Ransom Note?** [claims](#). "He considered himself intellectually superior to everyone around him." "Grow a brain" was a common insult he employed."
- **Jam es sons ex step mom** [states](#)"He received repetitive calls from a man claiming to be from Access Graphics following the murder. The caller initiated the call minutes after my husband left the house in route to work in the morning. I found the incidents so disturbing that I filed a report with the police department because I was fearful someone was watching the house. Our house was broken into two times following the calls but nothing was disturbed. The alarm went off minutes after I left and the intruder was gone before the police responded. On one occasion, I left a \$100.00 bill on the table but it was still there when I returned home."
- **Involvement in Other Killings?** **Jam es sons ex step mom** [states](#) "I hired a private investigator during our divorce. The investigator claimed that he was known as a "ghost" in the PI business. He also noted that my ex was involved in a large number (12) of fatalities. I responded that he was responsible for 151 facilities world wide. I grew up on Louisiana where one refinery explosion was capable of killing 12 employees at one time. But most striking was my ex was usually present or had recently visited when the "accident" took place.

Exculpatory Evidence

- **Motivation of Ex-Wife.** This poster may not have pure motives. She claims that she kept silent for years because of the threats to her children, but that "Now ages 15 and 12, I'm not afraid any longer and Jon Bonet is long overdue for justice."
- **Logical Flaws.** There are 3 potential logical flaws in this claim.
 1. First, the poster provides repeated instances of how her ex-husband successfully planned and executed "hits" and advances rather strong claims about how powerful this individual is. Although this ex-husband now is allegedly overseas, how could this woman possibly feel safe publicly exposing herself on a Website that for all she knew was being monitored by her ex-husband or a close associate? What about reaching ages 15 and 12 could possibly make her believe her children or herself could protect themselves against an individual so resourceful?
 2. Second, while this theory "explains" the "stand-down" of normal SOPs in the event of kidnapping at Lockheed Martin, it also raises a huge question: given this individual's position in the corporation, he would be immediately identified as being responsible for ordering the stand-down. How would he explain himself to anyone concerned that SOP for some reason had been violated? Admittedly JBR was eventually found within 7 hours, but on what grounds could this individual credibly claim to "know" that the RN was bogus and not to be taken seriously?
 3. Third, why would FBI not take this individual into custody? Instead this man now allegedly lives overseas. The FBI has no power to toss someone off a Board of Directors. What could FBI say that would be so bad that it resulted in this individual's being fired yet NO enforcement action on their part or any other level of law enforcement? **Jam es sons ex step mom** [states](#) "After our separation, he was abusive to our son. He was consistently able to evade conviction even though 7 organizations filed child abuse allegations against him and the investigation produced a 192 page, 3 count criminal indictment of child abuse. He is above the law and very skilled." But how can such an individual both be above the law yet also incapable of averting being fired and thrown off several important Boards?